

Stabilization of Tsirelson-type norms on ℓ_p spaces

Anna Pelczar

February 2, 2008

Abstract

We consider classical Tsirelson-type norms of $T[\mathcal{A}_n, \theta]$ and their modified versions on ℓ_p spaces. We show that for any $1 < p < \infty$ there is a constant λ_p such that considered Tsirelson-type norms do not λ_p -distort any of subspaces of ℓ_p .

1 Preliminaries

The Tsirelson space T was the base for further constructions of Banach spaces, which solved crucial problems in the theory of Banach spaces, as Schlumprecht space - the first space known to be arbitrary distortable, and further on the hereditarily indecomposable Gowers-Maurey space. Tsirelson-type norms provide important examples of Banach spaces as well as a uniform approach to the classical spaces and the new ones - by defining norms implicitly, as solutions to certain equalities. The mixed and modified mixed Tsirelson-type norms were studied in various context, with respect to their distortion and asymptotic properties (cf. [AO, AD1, AD2, ADM, ADKM]).

The modified Tsirelson norm on T was introduced in [J] and later proved to be equivalent to the Tsirelson norm in [CO] and in [B] with constant 2. Other equivalent norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ on T - of spaces $T[\mathcal{S}_n, \frac{1}{2^n}]$ - were studied in [OT-J] in context of the question of arbitrary distortability of T . It was shown that there is a universal constant K , such that the norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ do not K -distort any infinite dimensional subspace of T . Their modified versions considered in [M] appeared to be 3-equivalent to the original versions.

The equivalence of certain classical Tsirelson-type norms and their modified versions was shown in [B]. In the same paper the norms of $T[\mathcal{A}_n, \theta]$ isomorphic to ℓ_p were proved to be θ^2 -equivalent to the classical $\|\cdot\|_p$ norms on ℓ_p . General Tsirelson-type norms appeared in [AD1], where equivalence between classical ℓ_p norm and Tsirelson-type norms of $T[\mathcal{A}_n, \theta]$ was shown by means of tree analysis of norming vectors. The fact, applied in our paper, that classical and modified Tsirelson-type norms on ℓ_p spaces are 3-equivalent follows from [PT]. Let us recall that in the mixed case original and modified version of Tsirelson-type norms define non-isomorphic spaces, as in the case of Schlumprecht space (cf. [ADKM]).

E.Odell and T.Schlumprecht solved in [OS] the famous distortion problem showing that the spaces ℓ_p , for $1 < p < \infty$, are arbitrary distortable. They have shown in fact that these spaces are biorthogonally distortable, transferring the so-called biorthogonal system from the Schlumprecht space. The question of norms on ℓ_p arbitrary distorting the original norm, defined only by means of ℓ_p , remains open. The obvious candidates to be studied in this context are the Tsirelson-type norms.

We show in this paper that for any $1 < p < \infty$ there is a constant λ_p such that the Tsirelson-type norms of $T[\mathcal{A}_n, \theta]$ do not λ_p -distort any of infinite dimensional subspaces of the ℓ_p space. In fact we prove stabilization of modified Tsirelson-type norms on ℓ_p (Theorem 4.1), which are 3-equivalent to the original Tsirelson-type norm (Corollary 3.2) by the reasoning of [PT].

The author would like to thank Jordi Lopez-Abad for valuable remarks and simplifying certain proofs.

We recall first the standard notation. By c_{00} we denote the space of real sequences which are eventually zero, endowed with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$; by ℓ_p ($1 < p < \infty$) the space of p -summable real sequences with the canonical norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ given by the formula

$$\|x\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x(i)|^p \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{for any } x = (x(i))_i \in \ell_p$$

By (e_n) we denote the unit vectors basis. As usual we put $B_{\ell_p} = \{x \in \ell_p : \|x\|_p \leq 1\}$. For $1 < p < \infty$ we have $(\ell_p)^* \cong \ell_q$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. We put

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_i x(i)y(i) \quad \text{for any } x = (x(i))_i \in \ell_p \text{ and } y = (y(i))_i \in \ell_q$$

For any sets $I, J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ we write $I < J$ if $\max I < \min J$ and for any vectors $x, y \in c_{00}$ we write $x < y$ if $\text{supp } x < \text{supp } y$. A sequence $(x_n) \subseteq c_{00}$ is called a block sequence provided $x_1 < x_2 < \dots$. Given a block sequence (x_n) by $[x_n]$ we denote the vector space spanned by (x_n) .

Given any $x \in c_{00}$ and $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ by Ex or x_E denote the restriction of x to E , ie. $Ex(i) = x(i)$ if $i \in E$ and $Ex(i) = 0$ otherwise.

Finally, we say that a set $K \subseteq c_{00}$ is closed (or invariant) under

- (a) restriction, if for any $x \in K$ and $E \subset \mathbb{N}$ also $Ex \in K$,
- (b) spreading, if for any $x = \sum a_n e_n \in K$ and any strictly increasing function $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ we have $\sum a_n e_{\phi(n)} \in K$,
- (c) permutation, if for any $x = \sum a_n e_n \in K$ and any permutation $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ we have $\sum a_n e_{\sigma(n)} \in K$.

2 Classical and modified Tsirelson-type norms

We recall briefly the construction of Tsirelson-type norm, denoted here by $\|\cdot\|_{p,r}$, and modified Tsirelson-type norm, denoted by $|\cdot|_{p,r}$ (cf. [AD1], [ADKM]).

Definition 2.1 Fix $1 < p, q < \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define norms $\|\cdot\|_{p,r}$ and $|\cdot|_{p,r}$ on c_{00} as the unique norms satisfying the following implicit equations for any $x \in c_{00}$:

$$\|x\|_{p,r} = \max \left\{ \|x\|_\infty, \frac{1}{\sqrt[q]{r}} \sup \sum_{i=1}^r \|E_i x\|_{p,r} \right\}$$

where the supremum is taken over all r -tuples of sets $E_1, \dots, E_r \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ which satisfy $E_1 < \dots < E_r$,

$$|x|_{p,r} = \max \left\{ \|x\|_\infty, \frac{1}{\sqrt[r]{r}} \sup \sum_{i=1}^r |F_i x|_{p,r} \right\}$$

where the supremum is taken over all r -tuples of sets $F_1, \dots, F_r \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, which are pairwise disjoint.

Remark 2.2 (a) Basic unit vectors (e_n) form an 1-unconditional and 1-subsymmetric basis of c_{00} endowed with $\|\cdot\|_{p,r}$ and an 1-unconditional and 1-symmetric basis of c_{00} endowed with $|\cdot|_{p,r}$.

(b) The completion of c_{00} endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,r}$, $r > 1$, is isomorphic to ℓ_p [AD1]. As we have $\|\cdot\|_{p,r} \leq |\cdot|_{p,r} \leq \|\cdot\|_p$ it follows that the completion of c_{00} endowed with the norm $|\cdot|_{p,r}$, $r > 1$, is also isomorphic to ℓ_p .

The norms introduced above can be defined alternatively by their norming sets presented below.

Definition 2.3 Fix $1 < q < \infty$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $K_{q,r}$ be the smallest set in c_{00} which contains vectors $(\pm e_n)$ and satisfies the following:

$$z_1, \dots, z_l \in K_{q,r}, \quad l \leq r, \quad z_1 < \dots < z_l \implies \frac{1}{\sqrt[r]{r}}(z_1 + \dots + z_l) \in K_{q,r}.$$

Let $K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}}$ be the smallest set in c_{00} which contains vectors $(\pm e_n)$ and satisfies the following:

$$y_1, \dots, y_l \in K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}}, \quad l \leq r, \quad \text{supp } y_i \cap \text{supp } y_j = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j \implies \frac{1}{\sqrt[r]{r}}(y_1 + \dots + y_l) \in K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Remark 2.4 (a) By definition, in particular the minimality of considered sets, we have $K_{q,r} \subseteq K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq B_{\ell_q}$.

(b) By definition sets $K_{q,r}$ and $K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}}$ are closed under restriction and spreading. The set $K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is a "symmetrized" version of $K_{q,r}$ closed under permutations.

(c) A standard reasoning proves that for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < p, q < \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{p,r} &= \sup \{ \langle x, z \rangle : z \in K_{q,r} \} \\ |x|_{p,r} &= \sup \{ \langle x, y \rangle : y \in K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}} \} \end{aligned}$$

(d) As for $r = 1$ clearly $K_{p,1} = \{\pm e_n\}$, we will omit this case in the rest of the paper.

Definition 2.5 Given $\alpha > 0$ and $1 < q < \infty$ put

$$\begin{aligned} C_\alpha &= \{\pm \alpha^j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{0\} \\ \mathcal{N}_\alpha &= \{x \in c_{00} : x(i) \in C_\alpha, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ \mathcal{N}_\alpha^{(q)} &= \mathcal{N}_\alpha \cap B_{\ell_q} \end{aligned}$$

In the rest of the paper we shall need the following characterization of the set $K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}}$.

Lemma 2.6 *For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < q < \infty$, $t = \sqrt[q]{r}$, we have $K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{N}_t^{(q)}$.*

Proof. Obviously $K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_t^{(q)}$.

Suppose now that $x \in \mathcal{N}_t^{(q)}$. It is easy to see that there is some $y \in \mathcal{N}_t^{(q)}$ such that $x < y$ and $\|x + y\|_q = 1$. Since $K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is closed under restrictions, we may assume that $\|x\|_q = 1$. Since both $K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_t^{(q)}$ are closed under permutations, we may assume that $|x(1)| \geq |x(2)| \geq \dots$. The proof goes by induction on

$$n(x) = \min\{n : \text{there is some } j \in \text{supp } x \text{ with } |x(j)| = t^{-n}\}.$$

If $n(x) = 0$, then the result is clear. Suppose now that $n(x) > 0$.

Claim *There is a block sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^r \subseteq \mathcal{N}_t^{(q)}$ such that $x = x_1 + \dots + x_r$ and $\|x_i\|_q = t^{-1}$, for any $1 \leq i \leq r$.*

Proof of Claim. The proof goes by induction on

$$m(x) = \max\{n : \text{there is some } j \in \text{supp } x \text{ with } |x(j)| = t^{-n}\}$$

Let $I = \{j \in \text{supp } x : |x(j)| > t^{-m(x)}\}$ and $J = \text{supp } x \setminus I$. Notice that I is an initial part of $\text{supp } x$. Denote by x_I and x_J the projections of x on I and J respectively. Then we have that

$$1 = \|x\|_q^q = \|x_I\|_q^q + \|x_J\|_q^q = \|x_I\|_q^q + \frac{|J|}{r^{m(x)}} = \frac{l}{r^{m(x)-1}} + \frac{|J|}{r^{m(x)}}$$

for some integer $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $m(x) \geq n(x) \geq 1$ it follows that $|J| = kr$ for some integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Divide J into k disjoint pieces $(J_i)_{i=1}^k$, with $J_1 < \dots < J_k$ and $|J_i| = r$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$). Now pick $n_i \in J_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$) and set

$$y = x_I + t^{1-m(x)} \sum_{i=1}^k e_{n_i}$$

It is clear that $m(y) = m(x) - 1$, $\|y\|_q = \|x\|_q = 1$, and $|y(1)| \geq |y(2)| \geq \dots$, hence by inductive hypothesis there is a decomposition $y = y_1 + \dots + y_r$ into a sum of a block sequence with $\|y_i\|_q = t^{-1}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Define $F : \text{supp } y \rightarrow K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}}$ by $F(j) = e_j$ if $j \in I$, and $F(n_i) = t^{-1} \sum_{n \in J_i} e_n$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. It is clear that $\|F(j)\|_q = 1$ for any $j \in \text{supp } y$ and that $F(j) < F(j')$ for any $j < j'$. For any $1 \leq i \leq r$ define

$$x_i = \sum_{j \in \text{supp } y_i} y_i(j) F(j)$$

By the previous observations, we obtain that $\|x_i\|_q = \|y_i\|_q = t^{-1}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq r$ and (x_i) is a block sequence, therefore we have the decomposition $x = x_1 + \dots + x_r$. $\square$

Now we continue the proof of the Lemma 2.6. Take the decomposition $x = x_1 + \dots + x_r$ as in the Claim. Then $\|tx_i\|_q = 1$, and $n(x_i) \leq n(x) - 1$ for any $1 \leq i \leq r$, hence by inductive hypothesis we have that $(tx_i)_i \subseteq K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}}$. Hence $x = t^{-1}(tx_1 + \dots + tx_r) \in K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}}$. $\square$

3 Equivalence of $|\cdot|_{p,r}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{p,r}$ norms

The fact that $\|\cdot\|_{p,r}$ and $|\cdot|_{p,r}$ are 3-equivalent follows immediately from results in [PT]. We recall the reasoning from this preprint for the sake of completeness.

First we introduce some notation. Let $\mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ denote the set of finite sequences of $\mathbb{N}$. For any $m = (m(1), \dots, m(n)) \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ put $m + k\mathbf{1} = (m(1) + k, \dots, m(n) + k)$. Given any $m, l \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$, $m = (m(1), \dots, m(n))$, $l = (l(1), \dots, l(j))$ put $m \frown l = (m(1), \dots, m(n), l(1), \dots, l(j))$.

Fix $1 < q < \infty$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define the function $\Phi : \mathbb{N}^{<\infty} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(m(1)) &= r^{-m(1)} \\ \Phi(m(1), m(2)) &= r^{-m(1)} + r^{-m(2)} \\ \Phi(m(1), \dots, m(n)) &= r^{-m(1)} + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} r^{-m(i)} + r^{-m(n)}, \quad n > 2\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the function Φ has the following property:

$$\Phi(m(1), \dots, m(n)) = \Phi(m(1), \dots, m(i)) + \Phi(m(i), \dots, m(n)) \quad \text{for } 1 < i < n$$

Put $t = \sqrt[q]{r}$ and define the function

$$V : \mathbb{N}^{<\infty} \ni (m(1), \dots, m(n)) \mapsto (t^{-m(1)}, \dots, t^{-m(n)}, 0 \dots) \in c_{00}$$

Theorem 3.1 [PT] *With the above notation let the sequence $m \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ satisfy $\Phi(m) \leq 1$. Then $V(m) \in K_{q,r}$.*

Proof goes by induction on the length n of the sequence.

For $n = 1$ the assertion holds true since $(t^{-m(1)}, 0, \dots) \in K_{q,r}$ for any $m(1) \in \mathbb{N}$.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that the Theorem holds true for any sequence of integers of length less or equal to n and pick some sequence $m = (m(1), \dots, m(n+1))$ of integers.

Let us first notice that we can consider only the case $r^{-1} < \Phi(m) \leq 1$. Indeed, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ pick $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r^{-k-1} < \Phi(m) \leq r^{-k}$. Notice that $r^{-1} < \Phi(m - k\mathbf{1}) \leq 1$. If $V(m - k\mathbf{1}) \in K_{q,r}$, then also

$$V(m) = t^{-k} V(m - k\mathbf{1}) \in K_{q,r}$$

Let now $r^{-1} < \Phi(m) \leq 1$. Put $k_0 = 1$ and define inductively $k_1 < \dots < k_l = n + 2$ as

$$k_{i+1} = \max\{k \in \{k_i, \dots, n + 2\} : \Phi(m(k_i), \dots, m(k-1)) \leq r^{-1}\}, \quad i \geq 1$$

Since $r^{-1} < \Phi(m)$ we have $k_1 \leq n + 1$.

We will show that $l \leq r$. Assume that $l > 1$. By the definition of k_{i+1} we have

$$\Phi(m(k_i), \dots, m(k_{i+1})) > r^{-1} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq l - 2$$

By the addition rule for Φ we have

$$1 \geq \Phi(m) = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \Phi(m(k_i), \dots, m(k_{i+1})) > \sum_{i=0}^{l-2} \frac{1}{r} = \frac{l-1}{r}$$

which implies that $l-1 < r$, hence $l \leq r$.

Define sequences $m_1, \dots, m_l$ by

$$m_i = (m(k_i), \dots, m(k_{i+1} - 1)) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq l-1$$

Since $k_l = n+2$ we have $m = m_1 \cap \dots \cap m_l$. By construction the length of m_i is less or equal n and $\Phi(m_i - \mathbf{1}) \leq 1$ for any $1 \leq i \leq l$. By the inductive hypothesis $V(m_i - \mathbf{1}) \in K_{q,r}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq l$. Notice that

$$V(m) = V(m_1 \cap \dots \cap m_l) = t^{-1}V((m_1 - \mathbf{1}) \cap \dots \cap (m_l - \mathbf{1})) = t^{-1}(v_1 + \dots + v_l),$$

where $(v_1, \dots, v_l)$ is a block sequence of properly shifted vectors $V(m_1 - \mathbf{1}), \dots, V(m_l - \mathbf{1})$. By the definition of the set $K_{q,r}$ and its invariance under spreading it follows that $V(m) \in K_{q,r}$. $\square$

Corollary 3.2 *Fix $1 < p < \infty$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{3} |\cdot|_{p,r} \leq \|\cdot\|_{p,r} \leq |\cdot|_{p,r}$$

Proof. Take $1 < q < \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Notice that

$$K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}} \cap 2^{-1/q} B_{\ell_q} \subseteq K_{q,r}$$

Indeed, it follows immediately from Theorem 3.1, since sets $K_{q,r}$ and $K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}}$ are invariant under permutation and for any $m \in \mathbb{N}^{<\infty}$ we have $\Phi(m) \leq 2\|V(m)\|_q^q$.

Take arbitrary $y \in K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}}$. If for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $y(i) = 1$, then $y \in K_{q,r}$. If for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $y(i) < 1$, then $y = y_1 + y_2 + y_3$ for some $y_1 < y_2 < y_3$ with $\|y_j\|_q^q \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. By the above $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in K_{q,r}$.

Now fix $x \in c_{00}$ and compute

$$|x|_{p,r} = \sup_{y \in K_{q,r}^{\mathcal{M}}} |\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \sup_{y_1, y_2, y_3 \in K_{q,r}} |\langle x, y_1 + y_2 + y_3 \rangle| \leq 3 \sup_{z \in K_{q,r}} |\langle x, z \rangle| = 3\|x\|_{p,r}$$

which proves the first inequality. The second inequality is obvious. $\square$

4 Stabilization of $|\cdot|_{p,r}$ norms on ℓ_p

Now we present the main theorem of this paper

Theorem 4.1 *Fix $1 < p < \infty$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r > 1$. Every infinite dimensional subspace $X \subseteq \ell_p$ has an infinite dimensional subspace $Y \subseteq X$ such that for any $x \in Y$*

$$4^{-6}\|x\|_p \leq (\log_2 r)^{1/p} |x|_{p,r} \leq 3 \cdot 4^7(p+q)\|x\|_p$$

where $1 < q < \infty$ satisfies $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Thus the stabilization constant λ_p of the norm $|\cdot|_{p,r}$ on ℓ_p is not greater than $3 \cdot 4^{13}(p+q)$.

Throughout this section we will use the following

Notation. Fix $1 < p, q < \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r > 1$. Put $t = \sqrt[p]{r}$ and $s = \sqrt[q]{r}$.

Before proceeding to the proof of the main theorem we give two straightforward lemmas comparing $\|\cdot\|_p$ and $|\cdot|_{p,r}$ on vectors from $\mathcal{N}_s$.

Lemma 4.2 *For any $x \in \mathcal{N}_s^{(p)}$ we have $\|x\|_p^p \leq |x|_{p,r}$*

Proof. Given $x = (x(i)) \in \mathcal{N}_s^{(p)}$ consider a vector $y = (y(i)) \in \mathcal{N}_t^{(q)}$ defined by

$$y(i) = \text{sign}(x(i))|x(i)|^{p/q}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Observe that $\|x\|_p^p = \langle x, y \rangle$, which by Lemma 2.6 ends the proof. $\square$

Lemma 4.3 *For any $x \in \mathcal{N}_s$ we have $|x|_{p,r} \leq 1 + \frac{\|x\|_p^p}{t}$.*

Proof. Take $x \in \mathcal{N}_s$ and any vector $y \in \mathcal{N}_t^{(q)}$. Put $I = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : |x(i)|^p \leq |y(i)|^q\}$, $J = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : |x(i)|^p > |y(i)|^q\} = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : |x(i)|^p \geq r|y(i)|^q\}$. Using the relation $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ we obtain

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| = \sum |x(i)y(i)| \leq \sum_{i \in I} |y(i)|^q + \sum_{i \in J} \frac{|x(i)|^p}{t} \leq 1 + \frac{\|x\|_p^p}{t}$$

$\square$

We recall that for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ by $[a]$ we denote the biggest integer smaller than a .

Notation. Let $M = [\log_2 r]$ and take $\alpha > 0$ such that $\alpha^M = s$.

By definition we have $\alpha^{\frac{1}{2} \log_2 r} \leq \sqrt[p]{r} \leq \alpha^{\log_2 r}$. Applying $\log_2$ to those inequalities we obtain the following

Remark 4.4 $\sqrt[p]{2} \leq \alpha \leq \sqrt[p]{4}$.

Notation. For any vector $x \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha$ and any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we put

$$J_{m,x} = \{i \in \text{supp } x : \alpha^{-m}x(i) \in C_s\}, \quad J_m x = J_{m,x}x.$$

Notice that $J_{kM+m}x = J_m x$ for any $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Using this notation we can write any vector $x \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha$ as a sum $x = J_0 x + \dots + J_{M-1} x$ of vectors with disjoint supports such that $\alpha^{-m} J_m x \in \mathcal{N}_s$ for any $0 \leq m \leq M-1$.

The proof of the main theorem uses two lemmas - Lemma 4.5 showing stabilization of $|\cdot|_{p,r}$ norms on subspaces of a certain form, and Lemma 4.6 implying the saturation of ℓ_p by subspaces close to those used in Lemma 4.5. In both Lemmas we will work only on vectors from $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$. It is sufficient, as for any seminormalized block sequence (v_n) in ℓ_p there is an α -equivalent block sequence $(x_n) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\alpha$.

Lemma 4.5 Let $(x_n)_n \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\alpha$ be a block sequence such that $\alpha^{-3} \leq \|J_m x_n\|_p \leq 1$, for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\alpha^{-4p} \|a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_N x_N\|_p \leq \sqrt[p]{M} |a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_N x_N|_{p,r} \leq 6(p+q) \alpha^4 \|a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_N x_N\|_p.$$

Proof is based on the fact that - roughly speaking - for any block x of vectors with parts $J_0, \dots, J_{M-1}$ of almost equal $\|\cdot\|_p$ norm the norm $|x|_{p,r}$ is determined by the $|J_0 x|_{p,r}$ norm.

Take (x_n) as in Lemma. Notice that in particular $\alpha^{-3} \sqrt[p]{M} \leq \|x_n\|_p \leq \sqrt[p]{M}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We can consider only scalars $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a_1, \dots, a_N > 0$ and $a_1^p + \dots + a_N^p = 1$. Then

$$\alpha^{-3} \sqrt[p]{M} \leq \left\| \sum a_n x_n \right\|_p \leq \sqrt[p]{M}$$

We approximate $\sum a_n x_n$ by vectors from $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$. For any $1 \leq n \leq N$ pick $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha^{-k_n} \leq a_n < \alpha^{-k_n+1}$. Put $x = \sum_n \alpha^{-k_n} x_n \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha$. Notice that, for $\|\cdot\|$ denoting either $\|\cdot\|_p$ or $|\cdot|_{p,r}$, we have

$$\alpha^{-1} \left\| \sum a_n x_n \right\| \leq \|x\| \leq \left\| \sum a_n x_n \right\|$$

Observe that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$J_m x = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha^{-k_n} J_{m+k_n} x_n$$

As $\|J_m x\|_p^p = \sum \alpha^{-pk_n} \|J_{m+k_n} x_n\|_p^p$ by the assumptions on (x_n) and the choice of (k_n) we have

$$\alpha^{-4} \leq \|J_m x\|_p \leq 1, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}$$

LEFT ESTIMATE. By Lemma 4.2, since $J_0 x \in \mathcal{N}_s^{(p)}$, we have $\alpha^{-4p} \leq |J_0 x|_{p,r}$. Therefore we have

$$|\sum a_n x_n|_{p,r} \geq |x|_{p,r} \geq |J_0 x|_{p,r} \geq \alpha^{-4p} \geq \alpha^{-4p} \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{M}} \left\| \sum a_n x_n \right\|_p$$

RIGHT ESTIMATE. Since $\alpha^{M-m} J_m x \in \mathcal{N}_s$, by Lemma 4.3 we obtain that

$$|J_m x|_{p,r} \leq \alpha^{m-M} + \frac{\alpha^{(M-m)(p-1)}}{t} \|J_m x\|_p^p \leq \alpha^{m-M} + \frac{1}{\alpha^{m(p-1)}},$$

Therefore, using Remark 4.4 and the fact that $2^a - 1 \geq a \ln 2$ for any $a > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{-1} |\sum a_n x_n|_{p,r} &\leq |x|_{p,r} \leq \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{1}{\alpha^m} + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \frac{1}{\alpha^{m(p-1)}} = \frac{s-1}{s} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} + \frac{s^{p-1}-1}{s^{p-1}} \frac{\alpha^{p-1}}{\alpha^{p-1}-1} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{2}-1} + \frac{\alpha^{p-1}}{\sqrt[p]{2}-1} \leq \frac{p}{\ln 2} + \frac{\alpha^{p-1} q}{\ln 2} \leq 6(p+q) \leq 6(p+q) \frac{\alpha^3}{\sqrt[p]{M}} \left\| \sum a_n x_n \right\|_p \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|\sum a_n x_n|_{p,r} \leq 6(p+q) \frac{\alpha^4}{\sqrt[p]{M}} \left\| \sum a_n x_n \right\|_p$$

□

Lemma 4.6 *Let $(x_n) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\alpha$ be a block sequence with $\alpha^{-2} \leq \|x_n\|_p \leq \alpha^{-1}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is a block sequence $(y_n) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\alpha$ of (x_n) such that $\alpha^{-3} \leq \|J_m y_n\|_p \leq 1$ for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. In order to prove the Lemma it will be sufficient to find one vector y with the property described above. Without loss of generality, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that for a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ there are scalars $b_0, \dots, b_{M-1}$ such that

$$0 \leq b_m - \|J_m x_n\|_p^p < \varepsilon, \quad 0 \leq m < M, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Observe that $\alpha^{-2p} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} b_m < \alpha^{-p} + M\varepsilon$. For technical reasons, we define also $b_{M+m} = b_m$ for any $0 \leq m < M$. Take $a \in \mathbb{R}$ large enough so that $[b]/b \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ for any $b \geq a$. Now fix $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha^{Ml} \geq a$. Now for $0 \leq k, m < M$ consider the following averages:

$$y_k = \frac{1}{\alpha^{Ml+k}} (x_{r^{l+1}k} + \dots + x_{r^{l+1}k + [\alpha^{(Ml+k)p}] - 1})$$

$$c_k^m = \frac{[\alpha^{(Ml+k)p}]}{\alpha^{(Ml+k)p}} b_{m+k}.$$

It is straightforward to check that (y_k) is a block sequence. The sequences defined above have the following properties:

- (a) $J_m y_k = \alpha^{-(Ml+k)} J_{m+k} (x_{r^{l+1}k} + \dots + x_{r^{l+1}k + [\alpha^{(Ml+k)p}] - 1})$ for any $0 \leq k, m < M$,
- (b) $0 \leq c_k^m - \|J_m y_k\|_p^p < \varepsilon$ for any $0 \leq k, m < M$,
- (c) $(1 - \varepsilon)\alpha^{-2p} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} c_k^m < \alpha^{-p} + M\varepsilon$ for any $0 \leq m < M$.

Set $y = y_0 + \dots + y_{M-1}$. Then

- (d) $(1 - \varepsilon)\alpha^{-2p} - M\varepsilon < \|J_m y\|_p^p < \alpha^{-p} + M\varepsilon$ for any $0 \leq m < M$.

Choosing sufficiently small ε we obtain the desired result. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Take any infinite dimensional subspace X of ℓ_p .

Pick any sequence $(v_n)_n \subseteq X$ converging weakly to zero with $\|v_n\|_p = \alpha^{-3/2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By a well-known procedure applied simultaneously to norms $\|\cdot\|_p$ and $|\cdot|_{p,r}$ we pick for any $\delta > 0$ a block sequence (u_n) with $\|u_n\|_p = \alpha^{-3/2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which is $(1 + \delta)$ -equivalent to some subsequence $(v_{l_n})_n$ in both $\|\cdot\|_p$ and $|\cdot|_{p,r}$ norms.

Approximate vectors $(u_n)_n$ by vectors from $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$: for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \text{supp } u_n$ pick $k_n(i) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\alpha^{-1/2} |u_n(i)| \leq \alpha^{-k_n(i)} \leq \alpha^{1/2} |u_n(i)|$$

and define (x_n) by conditions: $\text{supp } x_n = \text{supp } u_n$, $|x_n(i)| = \alpha^{-k_n(i)}$ and $\text{sign } x_n(i) = \text{sign } u_n(i)$ for any $i \in \text{supp } x_n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The sequence $(x_n) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\alpha$ is a block sequence with $\alpha^{-2} \leq \|x_n\|_p \leq \alpha^{-1}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 4.6 there is a block sequence (y_n) of (x_n) satisfying assumptions of Lemma 4.5.

Notice that (x_n) is $\alpha^{1/2}$ -equivalent to (u_n) with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p$ and $|\cdot|_{p,r}$ norms. Picking $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small we ensure that the sequence (x_n) is α -equivalent to (v_{l_n})

both in $\|\cdot\|_p$ and $|\cdot|_{p,r}$ norms. Let $T : [x_n] \rightarrow [v_{l_n}]$ be the isomorphism defined by $Tx_n = v_{l_n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Put $z_n = Ty_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 4.5, using α -equivalence of (y_n) and (z_n) , we obtain for any $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$ the following inequalities:

$$\alpha^{-4p-2} \|a_1 z_1 + \dots + a_N z_N\|_p \leq \sqrt[p]{M} |a_1 z_1 + \dots + a_N z_N|_{p,r} \leq 6(p+q)\alpha^6 \|a_1 z_1 + \dots + a_N z_N\|_p$$

Therefore by Remark 4.4 the subspace $Y = [z_n]$ of X satisfies the desired condition. $\square$

References

- [AO] G.Androulakis and E.Odell, *Distorting mixed Tsirelson spaces*, Israel J. Math. **109** (1999), 125–149.
- [AD1] S.Argyros and I.Deliyanni, *Banach Spaces of the type of Tsirelson*, preprint arXiv:math.FA/9207206.
- [AD2] S.Argyros and I.Deliyanni, *Examples of asymptotic ℓ_1 Banach Spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), 973–995.
- [ADKM] S.Argyros, I.Deliyanni, D.Kutzarova and A.Manoussakis, *Modified mixed Tsirelson spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **159** (1998), 43–109.
- [ADM] S.Argyros, I.Deliyanni and A.Manoussakis, *Distortion and spreading models in modified mixed Tsirelson spaces*, Studia Math. **157** (3) (2003), 199–236.
- [B] S.Bellenot, *Tsirelson superspaces and ℓ_p* , J. Funct. Anal. **69** (1986), 207–228.
- [CO] P.Casazza and E.Odell, *Tsirelson's space and minimal subspaces*, Texas Functional Analysis Seminar 1982/3, Longhorn Notes, University of Texas, 61–72.
- [J] W.B.Johnson, *A reflexive Banach space which is not sufficiently Euclidean*, Studia Math. **55** (1976), 201–205.
- [M] A.Manoussakis, *A note on certain equivalent norms on Tsirelson's space*, Glas. Math. J. **46** (2004), 379–390.
- [OS] E.Odell and T.Schlumprecht, *The distortion problem*. Acta Math. **173** (1994), no. 2, 259–281.
- [OT-J] E.Odell and N.Tomczak-Jaegermann, *On a certain equivalent norms on Tsirelson's space*, Illinois J. Math. **44** (2000), 51–71.
- [PT] L.Pieniążek and J.Tabor, *On the strange addition*, preprint.
- [S] T.Schlumprecht, *An arbitrarily distortable Banach space*, Israel J. Math. **76** (1991), 81–85.
- [T] B.S.Tsirelson, *Not every Banach space contains ℓ_p or c_0* , Funct. Anal. Appl. **8** (1974), 138–141.